

REMARKS

Applicant has reviewed the Office Action from the Examiner. Claim 14 has been rewritten as claim 16, and has included the limitation with respect to claim 11, defining that the upper layer of each tag and its integral neck being separated by line cut. It is believed that claims 12 and 13 likewise contain allowable subject matter, since the Examiner recognized that claim 11 may contain allowable subject matter if rewritten in independent form.

It is also believed that a similar type of structure, with the line of separation being between the upper layer and the integral neck of each tag is also separated by a line cut. It is believed that claim 1, rewritten as claim 15, and its dependant claims, may also contain allowable subject matter, in accordance with what was recognized as being allowable by the Examiner.

The Examiner's further review of the amended claims of this application would be appreciated.

Respectfully submitted,



Paul M. Denk
Attorney for Applicant
Pat. Off. Reg. No. 22,598
763 South New Ballas Road, Ste. 170
St. Louis, Missouri 63141
(314) 872-8136